

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/759,857	01/17/2004	Boris Kobrin	MS-001.US (1285-100)	8766	
7590 05/08/2006			EXAMINER		
DUCKOR, SPRADLING, METZGER & WYNNE			LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT		
Suite 2400 401 West A Str	eet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA 92101-7915			1763		
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/759,857	KOBRIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffrie R. Lund	1763		

	Jeffrie R. Lund	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	coause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	**					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant Amendment	(I TOL-524).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) □ will	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.					
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10, 26</u> .	· .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		•			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
		Jeffrie R. Lund Primary Examiner				
•	·	Art Unit: 1763	•			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new amendments require further consideration. The new claims require that each coating precursor delivery system includes a process chamber (see element f) and two process controllers (see elements e and h). These elements are new matter. The Examiner recomends that they be removed as elements of the coating prescursor delivery system and included as part of the apparatus. Elements g and h are connected to the coating percursor delivery system and are part of the precursor delivery system, which is not possible. It is not clear if elements e and h are the same process controller.